```
Case 6:06-cr-06042-DGL-MWP Document 190 Filed 03/14/12 Page 1 of 11
                                                                       1
 1
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                       WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
 4
 5
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                     06-CR-6042(L)
 6
   vs.
7
                                    Rochester, New York
                                     November 7, 2011
   JOSE DE LA ROSA,
                  Defendant. )
 8
                                    3:00 p.m.
                   - - - - X
 9
10
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID G. LARIMER
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
                        WILLIAM J. HOCHUL, JR., ESQ.
                        United States Attorney
15
                        BY: FRANK H. SHERMAN, ESQ.
                        Assistant United States Attorney
16
                        6200 Federal Building
                        Rochester, New York 14614
17
18
                        M. KIRK OKAY, ESQ.
                        The Okay Law Firm
19
                        P.O. Box 622
                        Batavia, New York 14020
2.0
                        Appearing on behalf of the Defendant
21
   ALSO PRESENT:
                        Besayda Soto, Interpreter
22
                        Kerry Chartier, U.S. Probation
23
   COURT REPORTER:
                        Christi A. Macri, FAPR, RMR, CRR, CRI
24
                        Kenneth B. Keating Federal Building
                        100 State Street
                        Rochester, New York 14614-0222
25
```

	1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
	2	* * *
	3	(WHEREUPON, the defendant is present).
	4	THE COURT: Hello.
03:12PM	5	MR. OKAY: Good afternoon.
	6	THE COURT: Are we ready to proceed?
	7	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
	8	MR. OKAY: Yes, Your Honor.
	9	THE COURT: All right. This is Mr. De La Rosa?
03:12PM	10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
	11	THE COURT: All right. We'll swear in our interpreter.
	12	(WHEREUPON, the interpreter was sworn).
	13	THE COURT: Good afternoon to you. All right, we are
	14	ready for sentencing then, I take it?
03:13PM	15	MR. SHERMAN: Yes.
	16	MR. OKAY: Yes, Your Honor.
	17	THE COURT: The defendant is here having pleaded to
	18	Count 1 of the indictment, a superseding indictment, charging him
	19	with conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to
03:13PM	20	distribute cocaine.
	21	By statute that subjects Mr. De La Rosa to a term of 10
	22	years to life. The plea was entered over three years ago pursuant
	23	to a plea agreement. That agreement also provided that there
	24	would be a judgment and forfeiture entered in the sum of
03:13PM	25	\$1 million.

	1	The facts as set forth in the plea agreement and in the
	2	presentence report establish that Mr. De La Rosa conspired with
	3	several people, Jose Castillo-Martinez, Frank DeJesus, and others
	4	to receive shipments of cocaine downstate in New York and in
03:14PM	5	New Jersey. And the proof indicated that Mr. De La Rosa received
	6	such shipments in October of 2002; received 10 to 20 kilograms
	7	from Jose Castillo-Martinez.
	8	There was further evidence relative to the defendant's
	9	agreement to distribute significant quantities of cocaine. As
03:15PM	10	part of this agreement, Jose Castillo-Martinez was once in Mexico,
	11	was kidnapped and detained there for a substantial period of time
	12	because payments for the delivered drugs had not been made.
	13	This only summarizes some of the matters and activities
	14	involving Mr. De La Rosa.
03:15PM	15	The Court ordered a presentence report. A full report
	16	was prepared, and it determined that Mr. De La Rosa, with a
	17	criminal history category of I, his net offense level of 36,
	18	provided for a range of 108 to 235 months.
	19	MR. SHERMAN: 188, Your Honor.
03:16PM	20	THE COURT: What did I say?
	21	MR. SHERMAN: I think you said 108.
	22	THE COURT: Oh, 188 to 235. That range is the same range
	23	as the parties anticipated it would be in the plea agreement.
	24	The Court has received filings. First of all, I have
03:16PM	25	the Government's motion with respect to sentencing factors and the

```
Government's motion under 5K1 of the guidelines for a reduced
       1
       2
          sentence based on Mr. De La Rosa's cooperation. The motion is
          made under 5K1.1, and at this stage not made under the provisions
       3
          of the statute, Section 3553(e).
       4
       5
                     I also, Mr. Okay, have your statement with respect to
03:17PM
          sentencing factors filed last Thursday, I believe, indicating that
       6
          you have reviewed the report and you have no objections to the
       7
          matters in the presentence report. And I also have your
       8
       9
          sentencing memorandum which appears to have been filed yesterday
      10
          electronically.
03:17PM
      11
                     In addition to the Government's motion, which I
      12
          referenced, Mr. Sherman has supplied the Court with a letter dated
      13
          November 3rd outlining in a bit more detail Mr. De La Rosa's
          activities and cooperation, which in the Government's view
      14
03:18PM
      15
          justifies its reduction to the level that it recommended.
      16
                     So I think, counsel, those are the matters that the
      17
          Court has received on this case. I will confirm, I quess again,
      18
          Mr. Okay, based on your submission that you have received the
      19
          presentence report, and I take it by your filing neither you nor
      2.0
          your client have any objections to matters of the report?
03:18PM
      21
                     MR. OKAY: No, that's correct.
                     THE COURT: All right. And that means that your client
      2.2
      23
          has also reviewed them and has no objection?
      24
                     MR. OKAY: Yes, that's correct.
03:18PM
      25
                     THE COURT: All right. Is that true, Mr. De La Rosa?
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. I find that there being no 3 objections, that the Probation Department clearly and accurately 4 did describe the guidelines, and those guideline ranges are 188 to 5 235 months which, of course, would be more than 15 years to a 03:19PM little less than 20 years under the guidelines. 6 The Court must consider the quidelines, but is not bound 7 But in this case, the Government has a specific 8 by them. 9 recommendation based on cooperation. I see no reason not to give 10 Mr. De La Rosa the benefit of cooperation. 03:19PM 11 I guess before I pronounce sentence, Mr. Okay, I would 12 give you and your client a chance to speak to the matters. 13 guess I would say, Mr. Okay, that I'm a bit perplexed by your 14 sentencing memorandum, which in several pages summarizes the law 03:20PM 15 in terms of Section 3553(a), but repeatedly asks the Court to 16 impose a sentence at the low end of the guidelines. 17 MR. OKAY: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Which, of course, is about 6 years more than 19 the Government's asking, so --2.0 MR. OKAY: Your Honor, I can explain that. 03:20PM 21 explaining that to Kerry before the Court came out. I don't like 22 to put in the sentencing memorandum anymore about the 5K unless --23 because I don't file it under seal and I don't want that to be 24 looked up by, you know, other people who might be following this 03:20PM 25 case, so --

```
THE COURT: The Government filed its motion publically.
       1
        2
                     MR. OKAY: I think it was filed under seal.
        3
                     THE COURT: Not their motion -- not the motion.
       4
          letter is not public, but the motion which sets forth their
       5
          request for departure is public.
03:20PM
                     MR. OKAY: That is the reason why we didn't mention the
       6
          5K, but, of course, we are asking the Court -- if the Court is
       7
          inclined to grant the 5K, we would ask that the Court sentence to
       8
          that -- to the low end of that level 32 and the criminal history
       9
      10
          category of I.
03:21PM
      11
                     THE COURT: Well, your memo would have been helpful if it
      12
          had simply said that to sentence according to the Government's
      13
          request. I was confused, but I guess I'm less confused now.
      14
                     And I will provide you, sir, and your client a chance to
03:21PM
      15
          speak to the matter further if you would like. Anything further
      16
          you'd like to say?
      17
                     MR. OKAY: Your Honor, I would just -- if the Court is
      18
          inclined to grant the application, I would ask that the Court
      19
          sentence to the 121 months. I would note that Mr. De La Rosa
      2.0
          currently has about 65 months in incarceration; that's more than
03:21PM
      21
          5 years.
                     And the application of the Government contemplates a
      22
      23
          possible Rule 35 within a year of today's date or -- either by
      24
          application or by placeholder application. So that's certainly
03:22PM
      25
          something that we would be looking forward to as well to bring us
```

1 down even lower. Other than that, we are prepared for sentence. 2 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 3 I am aware that Mr. De La Rosa does have over 5 years 4 in, since this matter has been pending, in an institution where there aren't many programs or benefits. I recognize essentially 03:22PM 5 in a maximum security facility. So I'm aware of that, 6 Mr. De La Rosa. 7 You have the right to speak to me, the sentencing judge. 8 9 I'm going to grant the Government's motion for leniency, but if 10 there's anything else you'd like to say, I would be happy to hear 03:22PM 11 it now. You don't have to make any statement. 12 THE DEFENDANT: No. Just thank you very much, sir. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Anything from the Government? 14 MR. SHERMAN: Your Honor, only to confirm what Mr. Okay 03:23PM 15 said, which is we do anticipate filing a Rule 35 for 16 Mr. De La Rosa once the pending matters have been concluded. 17 THE COURT: Okay. There is an INS detainer I understand, so I assume the Government's decision relative to that would take 18 19 place before Mr. De La Rosa faces the immigration process and is 2.0 deported? 03:23PM 21 MR. SHERMAN: Yes. THE COURT: All right. All right, the Court has reviewed 2.2 23 the Government's motion and the other matters here and am prepared 24 to grant the Government's motion for a downward departure under 03:24PM 25 5K1.1 of the sentencing guidelines.

1 I find that the cooperation of Mr. De La Rosa was 2 substantial and significant and warrants a reduction from the 3 guideline range, which is 188 to 235 months, and I will sentence the defendant to the lowest level available, which is the 4 5 statutory minimum of 120 months. 03:24PM And I will sentence the defendant, Jose De La Rosa to 6 the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 120 months. 7 You will, of course, sir, get credit by the Bureau of 8 9 Prisons for all the time you've been in jail awaiting this 10 sentence. 03:24PM 11 I will place you on supervised release for a period of 12 If, in fact, you are deported, that release will not be 13 directly supervised, but, nonetheless, there are conditions of that release. 14 03:25PM 15 First and most important is that you not commit any new crime while on that release. And that includes a requirement that 16 17 if you are deported, that you not return to this country without 18 having legal authority to do so and without having obtained the 19 consent of the Attorney General or his designate to return to this 2.0 country. 03:25PM 21 If you are not deported, you're to submit to random drug And in addition, you are to cooperate in the collection 22 testing. 23 of a DNA sample, if requested to do so. 24 I will direct that upon the completion of your sentence 03:25PM 25 to this Court, you be turned over pursuant to the detainer to

1 Immigration officials under Section 3583(d) of Title 18 for 2 deportation proceedings. The Court will impose a fine in this case of \$500, which 3 is well below the guideline range. I direct that monies toward 4 that fine be taken out of Mr. De La Rosa's account while 5 03:26PM incarcerated according to the Bureau of Prisons Inmate 6 Responsibility Program. And thereafter should he remain in this 7 8 country, at the rate of 10% of any monies he earns while in this 9 country. The Court also imposes a \$100 special assessment. 10 03:26PM 11 That is the sentence of the Court. I don't know if there were other counts in the 12 13 indictment against Mr. De La Rosa or not. 14 MR. SHERMAN: No, Your Honor. I would ask the Court to 03:27PM 15 have the judgment reflect the forfeiture, which the Court has 16 previously ordered. 17 THE COURT: Yes, the J & C should reflect the forfeiture 18 agreement the sum of \$1 million. Make that a part of the J & C, 19 which can be converted to judgment. 2.0 The plea agreement provided that Mr. De La Rosa would 03:27PM 21 waive or give up the right to appeal this sentence if it was 2.2 within the 188 to 235 month guideline, and this sentence -- based 23 on the Government's application and this Court's sentence -- is 24 below that. 03:27PM 25 So, Mr. Okay, there does not appear to be any ability to

```
appeal this sentence, even if there were a desire to do so.
       1
        2
                     I guess we've already spoken about possible Rule 35, and
        3
          I guess we'll just see how that goes, leave that matter open.
        4
          Government has to file that within a year of the entry of judgment
03:27PM
       5
          in this case, which will probably occur in the next day or so.
                     All right, I guess I forgot one thing. Is there any
       6
       7
          request from the defendant as to where he be confined?
          you said the northeast --
       8
       9
                     MR. OKAY: Yes.
      10
                     THE DEFENDANT: North side, close to New Jersey or close
03:28PM
      11
          to Connecticut because my family lives in Connecticut.
      12
                     MR. OKAY: Connecticut, New Jersey, downstate.
                                                                      I don't
      13
          know if there's -- I mean, a specific facility.
      14
                     THE COURT: What if I said the metropolitan New York
03:28PM
      15
          area?
                 Is that --
      16
                     MR. OKAY: That would probably work, yes?
      17
                     THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      18
                     MR. OKAY: Yes.
      19
                     THE COURT: All right.
      2.0
                     MR. OKAY: We would also ask the Court to consider the
03:28PM
      21
          recommendation that he be allowed to participate in the Bureau of
      22
          Prisons drug treatment program as well. There is a basis in the
      23
          PSR, we believe, to support that. Even though he's been in for
      24
          five years, I think part of the purpose of that program is to --
          it addresses issues of relapse and things like that. So I think
03:29PM
      25
```

23 Christi A. Macri, FAPR-RMR-CRR-CRI Official Court Reporter

24

25